## BEST AVAILABLE COPY



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and iemark Office

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		Y POCKET NO. 65	
09/353,67(	07/15/99	PRELL	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	27 / 4 / 4 # =	
			EXA	EXAMINER	
BAKER & BOTTS LLP 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA		HM12/0319	WILSON, J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK NY :			1623	4	
			0	3/19/01	
-			DATE MAILED: U		
is is a communication i	rom the examiner in ch	narge of your application.	DATE MAILED:		
is is a communication f	rom the examiner in ch TENTS AND TRADEM	narge of your application. ARKS OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY	DATE MAILED:		
OMMISSIONER OF PA	TENTS AND TRADEMA	ARKS	DATE MAILED:		

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 30 1 av 5 reenth(s); or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). **Disposition of Claims** Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction or election requirement. **Application Papers** See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on \_ is/are objected to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved. The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 🕺 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some\* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892

Interview Summary, PTO-413

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-

Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).

Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Application/Control Number: 09/353,670

Art Unit: 1623

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-3, drawn to a composition comprised of imidazoleacetic acidribonucleotide (IAA-RP), classified in class 514, subclass 43.
- II. Claims 4-6, drawn to a method for regulating the biological activity of an imidazoline receptor, classified in class 530, subclass 388.22.
- III. Claim 7, drawn to an antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 388.21.
- IV. Claims 8-11, drawn to a method for diagnosing a disease or a disorder associated with IAA-RP, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- V. Claims 12-15, drawn to a method for assaying for compounds which modulate IAA-RP activity, classified in class 435, subclass 7.91.
- VI. Claim 16, drawn to a method for identifying compounds which bind to an IAA-RP compounds, classified in class 435, subclass 7.91.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Group I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case

Inventions of Group I and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and

Application/Control Number: 09/353,670

Art Unit: 1623

the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a regulator for the biological activity of an imidazoline receptor and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions of Group I and II and Groups III-VI are unrelated, however Groups I and II are related and Groups III, IV, V, and VI are seen to be related. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions involve two distinct compositions and methods directed to the use of each of the distinct compounds. The mode of action for the compounds in the methods claimed are different and composition dependent. The compositions of matter set forth in Groups I and III respectively also have different modes of action and different effects contingent upon the methodological procedures claimed.

Inventions of Group III and Groups IV, V and VI are related as product and materially different processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the invention of Group III may be used in any one of the materially different processes of Groups IV, V or VI.

Because these inventions are distinct and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/353,670

Art Unit: 1623

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I and II is not required for Group III, IV, V, VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper and because these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James O. Wilson. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Geist, SPE of Art Unit 1623, may be reached at (703) 308-1701. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.